Published on The National Law Review https://www.natlawreview.com

Lack Of Knowledge Defeats Breach Of Fiduciary Duty Claim

Article By:

Keith Paul Bishop

<u>Yesterday's post</u> discussed Judge <u>Mary Kay Vyskocil's</u> ruling in *Barenbaum v. Palleschi*, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 180624 that the plaintiff's derivative claims were not "validly in litigation". The case involved allegations that several executives and directors of FTE Networks, Inc. purported to issue convertible notes "without proper authorization from the FTE Board of Directors, by using forged signatures on the issuing documents".

Under the laws of a different jurisdiction, the plaintiff's breach of fiduciary claim may have withstood a motion to dismiss. The corporation, however, was incorporated in Nevada. Under Nevada law, a director will not be liable to the corporation for a breach of fiduciary duty unless, among other things, the breach involved intentional misconduct, fraud or a knowing violation of law. NRS 78.138(7)(b)(2). The plaintiff's problem in *Barenbaum* was that he admitted that the notes had been issued without board approval. This defeated any inference of intentional misconduct by the board members sufficient to sustain a claim under Nevada law.

© 2010-2024 Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

National Law Review, Volumess X, Number 294

Source URL: https://www.natlawreview.com/article/lack-knowledge-defeats-breach-fiduciary-duty-claim