

THE NATIONAL LAW REVIEW

Final Private Activity Bond Public Approval Regulations Streamline Notice Requirements

Friday, January 4, 2019

On December 31, 2018, the Department of the Treasury and the Internal Revenue Service released final regulations (the “Final Regulations”) relating to public approval requirements for tax exempt private activity bonds. The [Final Regulations](#) update and streamline implementation of the public approval requirement for tax exempt private activity bonds provided in section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code and are largely an improvement over the existing regulations that date back to 1983.

Timing and Dissemination of Reasonable Notice

The most significant improvements in the Final Regulations over the existing regulations and proposed regulations released in September 2017 (“Proposed Regulations”) are in the required timing and methods of notice of public hearing. In response to numerous comments received, the Final Regulations reduce the required notice period from 14 days to 7 days. Notice is now presumed reasonable if given no fewer than 7 calendar days before the hearing.

In addition to the newspaper and radio notices in the existing regulations, the Final Regulations also allow for postings on a governmental unit’s public website and, in response to comments received, eliminate the requirement set forth in the Proposed Regulations for an alternative method of obtaining the information in a website notice. In addition, the Final Regulations provide that public notice may be posted on the public website of either the issuer or the approving governmental unit.

Content of Reasonable Notice

The content requirements for reasonable public notice in the Final Regulations are a mixed bag. The public notice must include a general functional description of the project or projects, the maximum stated principal amount of bonds to finance each project, the name of the initial owner or principal user of the projects and a general description of the project locations. The Final Regulations follow the Proposed Regulations in allowing the Issuer to describe the category of bonds being issued and the type and use of the project or projects rather than providing more specific project information. For example, “exempt facility bonds financing an airport pursuant to section 142(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code”, “qualified small issue bonds, as defined in section 144(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, financing a manufacturing facility ” and “qualified 501(c)(3) bonds, as defined in section 145 of the Internal Revenue Code, financing a hospital facility and working capital expenditures” would all be sufficient project descriptions. This eliminates the need for the detailed project descriptions that are currently used.

However, if an issue finances and/or refinances multiple projects, the notice and approval must include the maximum stated principal amount of bonds to be issued for each project and this has raised some issues, particularly for large system financings with many projects and many locations. The Final Regulations generally retain the definition of “project” set forth in the Proposed Regulations and “project” generally means one or



Article By [MintzPublic Finance](#)
[Christie L. Martin](#)

[Financial Institutions & Banking](#)
[Tax](#)
[All Federal](#)

more capital projects or facilities, including land, buildings, equipment and other property, to be financed with an issue that are located on the same site or adjacent or proximate sites used for similar purposes. In rejecting a commenter suggestion to allow an aggregate maximum amount to finance all projects of the issue, the Final Regulations note that Treasury and IRS “have determined that the relative principal amounts within an issue to be spent on each separate project are relevant information for this public approval process”. Interestingly, the Final Regulations add back a concept from the existing regulations that says projects on different sites may be treated as part of the same project if used in an integrated operation. This had been eliminated from the Proposed Regulations as being too difficult to determine and will potentially be helpful for projects located at various sites. It is unclear, however, how useful this provision will be given the clear description in the summary description that lumping multiple projects together is not giving adequate notice of scope of project and potential impact on a particular community.

The requirement to provide a maximum bond amount by project location may be particularly troublesome with refundings. With bonds issued to refund bonds of many series that had themselves been issued to refinance many prior series, it gets very complicated to try to figure out amounts by project and location for each prior series. A comment to allow a refunded bond issue to only provide an overall project refinancing amount was rejected.

Hearing

An in-person hearing is required. Webinar or teleconference methods were rejected as being “not sufficiently reliable, publicly available, susceptible to public response or uniform in their features and operation”. A commenter’s suggestion to allow issuers to cancel a hearing was also rejected.

Insubstantial Deviations and Curing Substantial Deviations

Like timing and dissemination of notice, the expanded description of what is an insubstantial deviation and the new ability to cure a potential substantial deviation with a subsequent approval are substantial improvements over the existing regulations. A deviation in actual principal amount allocated for a project is insubstantial under the Final Regulations if it is no more than 10% greater than the maximum amount in the notice or is any amount less. Overestimating the maximum principal amount of bonds allocated for each project should, therefore, be the norm. In addition, any amount used to finance working capital directly associated with any project specified in the notice is an insubstantial deviation and any deviation in the name of an owner or user of the project named in the notice is an insubstantial deviation if the parties named in the notice and the actual parties are related parties on the issue date of the bonds.

All deviations that are not specifically treated as insubstantial deviations in the Final Regulations will need to be analyzed based on all the facts and circumstances. In the event a deviation is determined to be substantial, a new public approval can cure the deviation. In order to take advantage of the supplemental public approval, the issue must have had a valid public approval and the issuer must have reasonably expected there would be no substantial deviation on the issue date, the substantial deviation must be as a result of unexpected events or unforeseen changes in circumstances that occur after the issue date, and the supplemental public approval must be obtained prior to using proceeds of the bonds in a manner or amount not provided for in the original public approval.

Application Date

The Final Regulations apply to bonds issued pursuant to a public approval occurring on or after April 1, 2019.

An issuer may apply the provisions related to deviations in public approval method in whole but not in part to bonds that are issued pursuant to a public approval that occurs prior to April 1, 2019. However, in order to take advantage of the supplemental public approval, it appears that the original public approval would have to have met the requirements of the Final Regulations. This will not always be the case, particularly for large system financings with projects at multiple locations that did not specify amounts by project in the original notice.

© 1994-2019 Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C. All Rights Reserved.

Source URL: <https://www.natlawreview.com/article/final-private-activity-bond-public-approval-regulations-streamline-notice>