

THE
NATIONAL LAW REVIEW

2017 Legislative Update - Overview of Changes to Nevada Gaming Law

Friday, July 14, 2017

The Nevada Legislature, which meets every other year for 120 days, recently wrapped their 2017 session. In this session, the Legislature tackled a diverse set of issues that will impact gaming companies that operate in Nevada, including changes to the confidentiality of information submitted to Nevada's gaming regulators, allowing for pari-mutuel wagers on esports and other events beyond traditional sports and racing, and adjusting the boundaries for casino resort development within the city of Las Vegas.

Assembly Bill 75 - Nevada Gaming Control Board's Omnibus Bill

Assembly Bill 75, which was brought by the Nevada Gaming Control Board ("Board"), exempts manufacturers, distributors, and independent contractors associated with gaming from certain licensing requirements and revises provisions governing the regulation of trustees of an employee stock ownership plan by the Nevada Gaming Commission ("Commission").

What may be the most interesting change, however, is this bill's addition of a fifth possible action that Nevada gaming regulators may take to dispose of a gaming application: "rejection of the application." Before this bill, the Commission could approve or deny an application, or refer the application back to staff (the fourth option available is withdrawal of the application, which may be done at the Board level only). Now, the Commission may "reject" an application. Such a rejection is not a "denial," but it allows the Commission to dispose of an application without having to deny it. This was done to provide the Commission more flexibility. But what is unclear is how other jurisdictions will handle a multi-jurisdictional applicant or licensee that has received a rejection (but not a denial) from Nevada. Additionally, gaming contracts regularly address contingencies that include what may happen if a licensing application that is required for a party to fulfill a contract is withdrawn or denied. This standard gaming contract language will need to be updated to reflect the additional potential outcome of "rejection."

Assembly Bill 219 - Adjusting Gaming Districts in the City of Las Vegas

This was a bill brought on behalf of the City of Las Vegas to help them redefine the location of Gaming Enterprise Districts ("GEDs") within city boundaries, to balance between gaming development and preservation of settled residential areas. This bill eliminates a portion of the Las Vegas Boulevard gaming corridor GED where that GED encroached into an established residential area within the city. The bill also creates the Historic Downtown Gaming District, to encourage development in the historic gaming center of downtown Las Vegas. This district matches up with the City's long-standing "permissive downtown casino district" where nonrestricted gaming would be acceptable, within a zone bordered by Main Street, Stewart Avenue, Third Street, and Carson Avenue. The change was needed to allow for development of new and expanded gaming resorts within the downtown core.

Senate Bill 120 - Adjustments to Nevada's Problem Gambling Program



DICKINSON WRIGHT

Article By [Gregory R. Gemignani](#)
[Jennifer J. Gaynor](#)[Kate C. Lowenhar-Fisher](#)
[Jeffrey A. Silver](#)[Dickinson Wright PLLC](#)
[Gaming Legal News](#)
[Election Law / Legislative News](#)
[Entertainment, Art & Sports](#)
[Nevada](#)

Senate Bill 120 revises the membership and duties of the Advisory Committee on Problem Gambling. The bill allows Nevada's Governor more flexibility in filling the appointments to the Advisory Committee on Problem Gambling because the membership requirements had been so narrowly defined that some advisory seats were left vacant as there were no appropriate people to fill them. The bill also provides that the Advisory Committee shall provide advice and information to decisionmakers in the state, such as the Governor, Legislature, and the Department of Health and Human Services, about problem gambling for purposes including assisting in the establishment of priorities for problem, gambling programs and services and recommending legislation, regulations, or the adoption of public policy concerning problem gambling.

Senate Bill 240 - Pari-Mutuel Wagering for "Other Events"

Brought by the Boyd School of Law gaming law class, Senate Bill 240 clarifies that the pari-mutuel system of gaming may be utilized for wagers on events other than horse racing, dog racing, or sporting events. With the growing popularity of "esports" - competitive video games where players often compete in a stadium-style tournament - the gaming law class believed that it would be helpful to provide flexibility to gaming operators in offering wagers on such events. This bill also allows for pari-mutuel wagering on a variety of other non-racing or sporting events, which may, for example, potentially include the results of reality competition shows.

Senate Bill 376 - Confidentiality of Information Submitted to Nevada's Gaming Regulators

Senate Bill 376 addresses the confidentiality of data and information provided by gaming applicants and licensees to state regulatory agencies. This bill amends NRS 463.120, the statutory section that provides for confidentiality of information submitted to the Board and Commission as part of the Nevada state gaming application process, to provide that

...if any applicant or licensee provides or communicates any information and data to an agent or employee of the Board or Commission in connection with its regulatory, investigative or enforcement authority:

(a) All such information and data are confidential and privileged and the confidentiality and privilege are not waived if the information and data are shared or have been shared with an authorized agent of any agency of the United States Government, any state or any political subdivision of a state...in connection with regulatory, investigative or enforcement authority...

(b) The applicant or licensee has a privilege to refuse to disclose, and to prevent any other person or governmental agent, employee or agency from disclosing, the privileged information and data.

"Information and data" is defined to mean all information and data in any form, including, without limitation, any oral, written, audio, visual, digital, or electronic form, as well as any account, book, correspondence, file, message, paper, record, report, or any document containing self-evaluative assessments, self-critical analysis, or self-appraisals of an applicant's or licensee's compliance with statutory or regulatory requirements.

This change was made because the confidentiality of information obtained by the Board and Commission as a part of their routine regulatory responsibilities has been under continual assault by civil litigants, and the Board wants to encourage full disclosure by applicants.

© Copyright 2019 Dickinson Wright PLLC

Source URL: <https://www.natlawreview.com/article/2017-legislative-update-overview-changes-to-nevada-gaming-law>